

SCVURPPP Annual C.3 Workshop

Evaluation Form Summary

Number of Attendees: 159

Number of Evaluations: 55

Zoom Webinar

Tuesday, February 28, 2023

1. How useful was the presentation "Review of Provision C.3 Requirements in MRP 3.0" (Jill Bicknell, SCVURPPP)?

- **Very Useful - 50** **Somewhat Useful - 4** **Not useful - 0**

2. How useful was the presentation "Evaluation of GSI Opportunities in Streets and Parking Lot Projects" (Peter Schultze-Allen, SCVURPPP)?

- **Very Useful - 43** **Somewhat Useful - 11** **Not useful - 0**

3. How useful was Breakout Session #1 – GSI Opportunity Screening?

- **Very Useful - 37** **Somewhat Useful - 14** **Not useful - 3**

4. How useful was Breakout Session #2 – Policies in the Frontage and GSI Opportunities?

- **Very Useful - 33** **Somewhat Useful - 17** **Not useful - 3**

8. Did this workshop meet your expectations? **Yes: 52** **No: 2**

9. What parts of the workshop were most useful to you?

- Example GSI evaluation screening and opportunities. (4)
- Hearing about challenges and others' concerns regarding frontage/ROW improvements associated with Regulated Projects. (6)
- The breakout session and free discussion. (11)
- Review of Provision C.3 Requirements in MRP 3.0. (12)
- Checklists within the slides.
- Going over stormwater treatment measures was particularly helpful.
- Alternative compliance.
- Items related to ongoing O&M responsibilities, feasibility, cost allocation, etc.
- Hearing about local laws and updates.
- The material presented was most useful.

10. What would have made this workshop more useful?

- More real-world examples. (2)
- Make it in-person workshop. (2)



- Good break-away discussions. (2)
- More time in breakout rooms.
- More diverse examples would have been helpful.
- Being creative and how to provide alternative solution.
- Snacks.
- Handout of slides so we can take notes and highlight important aspects as they relate to our jurisdiction.
- Practically dealing with and providing specific strategies for treatment within the ROW of a regulated project (e.g., curb ramps, sidewalks, etc., that drain away from the overall project boundary.
- Alternative compliance.
- While analysis was interesting, could have spent more time on implementation part - it is not just a design issue, but code, compliance, maintenance issues, collaboration with planning. Good to drop into the weeds to look at non-design issues that must be addressed with these new requirements.
- Does not really apply to my work at County Parks since we are not in an urban landscape.
- Some hands-on experience sizing and developing solutions to potential projects would be helpful. Being a workshop, I thought we'd do more design.
- Do one exercise proposing GSI features with details on an actual street and later discuss after the breakout session.
- Some recommendations for local jurisdictions on how to adopt these new rules effectively.
- Wish there was more discussion on real issues we would face and what the proper way to handle it (i.e., would the trench need to be counted in the treatment for a sewer later or would it be a "non-contiguous").
- Smaller breakout sessions with more time allocated. The group size and short time limited the discussion.
- Having more specific info about working in the frontage.
- This workshop would be more useful if maintenance methods of stormwater treatment measures were discussed.
- I could not speak or send chat messages. When I "raised my hand" digitally, no one responded. My guess is that it was a technical problem that prevented me from interacting and the session leaders from knowing I was even there.

11. What topics would you recommend for a future workshop?

- A dive into alternative compliance opportunities. (2)
- Latest updates. (3)
- My recommendation would be to go over requirements in rural communities, or if there aren't any, stating that there aren't.
- More unique scenarios.
- Other manufacture proposed mitigation measures.
- Hearing how agencies are dealing with the off-site improvement treatment requirements within easements or rights of access, that are not in-lieu treatment (Alternative Compliance) would be useful.
- Maybe something about long term maintenance of treatment - what is the life span of bioretention and permeable pavement? At what point does the whole system need to be replaced?



- Best practices by different agencies. Take advantage of all of us being in the (virtual) room together so that we can try and learn from each other.
- Alternative compliance and off-site improvements - types of systems, feasible locations for treatment, how to setup in-lieu fees for currently identified projects (i.e. a publicly owned parking lot).
- City of San José may have the most varied examples of "different" LID materials and their use in projects. Maybe photos from a bunch of those projects to spur discussion.
- I would like to cover the asset management and reporting requirements of the MRP.
- I'd enjoy a course that looks at a few standard situations and designs compliant solutions to meet the code requirements.
- More real-life examples and how to interpret them in relation to MRP 3.0.
- MRP for Central Coast Section and any upcoming permit revisions.
- O&M agreement templates for the GIS, new C3 forms, LID calcs etc.
- More about prioritizing locations for GSI, sizing, case studies from zero to construction and maintenance.
- I would recommend case studies of both poor and above average maintenance of GSI.

12. General Comments?

- Really appreciate the workshop! Please continue to host more of these training sessions. (2)
- Thank you. (7)
- Thanks - I attended this workshop last year and placed in the survey that I would like more project applications and this year definitely delivered!
- Zoom is okay (and I imagine gets better attendance) but in-person trainings were generally enjoyable and provided better interaction.
- Great workshop with breakout sessions.
- I would like a workshop dedicated to the Land Development side of the discussion. The CIP groups have less of an impact from MRP 3.0, and a Land Development focused group would be smaller and more focused. Great training! Thank you for putting this together.
- Please let us know when the new C3 form is available.
- What happens if two replaced pavements are 1 foot apart, does that consider not being contiguous?
- Very engaging, good to learn about implementation, not just regulations, breakouts were good to hear from others.
- Overall great presentations. Meeting with individuals following a similar career path is not only helpful, but it offers different perspectives on the same issues.